	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of Rainbow Broadcasting Company,
3	Inc.:
4	ALLAN G. MOSKOWITZ, ESQUIRE BRUCE A. EISEN, ESQUIRE
5	Kaye, Scholer, Fierman, Hays & Handler 901 15th Street, N.W.
6	Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 682-3500
7	
8	On behalf of Rainbow Broadcasting, Ltd.:
9	MARGOT POLIVY, ESQUIRE Renouf & Polivy
10	1532 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036
11	(202) 265-1807
12	On behalf of Press Broadcasting Company, Inc.:
13	HARRY F. COLE, ESQUIRE
14	Bechtel & Cole, Chartered 1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250
15	Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 833-4190
16	
17	On behalf of FCC:
18	STEWART A. BLOCK, ESQUIRE DAVID SILBERMAN, ESQUIRE
19	Federal Communications Commission Office of General Counsel
20	1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 602 Washington, D.C. 20554
21	(202) 418-1740
22	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Whereupon,
3	BARBARA KREISMAN
4	was called as a witness and, having been first
5	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
6	follows:
7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PRESS
8	BROADCASTING COMPANY
9	BY MR. COLE:
10	Q Good morning, Miss Kreisman. Could
11	you state your name and work address for the
12	record, please.
13	A Barbara A. Kreisman. 1919 M Street,
14	Northwest, Washington, D.C., Room 702.
15	Q Thank you.
16	Miss Kreisman, we're here today in
17	connection with the Rainbow Broadcasting
18	Company proceeding. My name is Harry Cole. I
19	think you and I know each other, but I'll say
2 0	that for the record just to be safe, and I
21	represent Press Broadcasting Company. If there

comes a time during the deposition that you

	•
1	have any questions or you need clarification of
2	any of the questions presented to you, please
3	feel free to stop and ask either the person
4	questioning you or your counsel, and we'd be
5	happy to make sure that any questions are
6	clarified for your benefit.
7	A Thank you very much.
8	Q You're welcome. Miss Kreisman, what
9	is your current position?
10	A I'm chief of the video services
11	division. That's in the mass media bureau.
12	Q Of the Federal Communications
13	Commission?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q How long have you been in that
16	position?
17	A I've been in this position since, I
18	believe, December 1989.
19	Q And how long have you been at the FCC
20	in all?
21	A I started in the FCC in 1972.
22	Q Are you an attorney by training?

- A Or 1973, actually. Sorry.
  - O Are you an attorney by training?
  - A Yes, I am.

Q Now, the hearing in connection with which we're conducting this deposition includes in its caption three applications filed by Rainbow Broadcasting Company, and for shorthand purposes, I'll refer to Rainbow Broadcasting Company as RBC.

Those applications include two applications for extension of RBC's construction permit for Channel 65 in Orlando and one application for consent to the assignment of that permit.

Are you generally familiar with those applications?

- A Generally familiar.
- Q During the period of time January
  1991, when the first of those applications was
  filed, through July of 1993, did you have any
  oral communications with anyone acting on
  behalf of Rainbow Broadcasting Company, RBC,

1	with respect to any RBC application which was
2	pending before the mass media bureau at the
3	time of the communication?
4	A Yes.
5	Q How many such communications did you
6	have?
7	A I do not remember.
8	Q Miss Kreisman, in the discovery phase
9	of this proceeding, Press Broadcasting
10	requested of Rainbow Broadcasting Company the
11	production of documents of the law firm of
12	Renouf & Polivy reflecting contacts between
13	representatives of that firm and members of the
14	Commission's staff.
15	I'm going to show you now a document
16	which was produced by RBC. Hold on.
17	A Sorry.
18	Q I'll give you the stapled copy. In
19	connection with that and I will pass the
20	right copies to counsel all around the table.
21	And I ask you just to review that and

I'll ask if that refreshes your recollection

about	the	nun	mber	of	contac	ts	or	comn	nuni	catio	ns
in wh	nich	you	were	in	volved	re	elat	ing	to	RBC	
appli	cati	ons									

- A The document appears to say that in July of 1993, there is a contact with me on July 30 and July 29 and July 20. Correct?
  - Q All right.

- A That's my reading of it.
- Q That appears to be the case, but I didn't create the document. It was provided to me, and I'm just seeing if this refreshes your recollection in any way.
- A It does not refresh my recollection, but it certainly looks reasonable that during that time period, there could have been those contacts.
- Q Do you recall whether the oral communications that you have just mentioned were by telephone or in person?
- A With respect to Miss Polivy, I remember there were some telephone calls. I only remember one in-person meeting, and that

·	
1	was the meeting of July 1.
2	Q So you specifically recall the July 1
3	meeting and then some telephone calls.
4	A Correct.
5	Q With respect to the July 1 meeting
6	let's start there, because that's the one that
7	you specifically referenced.
8	Where was that meeting held?
9	A In Roy Stewart's office.
1.0	Q And did you yourself make the
11	arrangements for the meeting?
12	A No, I did not.
13	Q Who participated in that meeting on
14	behalf of RBC?
15	A Miss Polivy was there as counsel, and
16	her client was there I forgot his name
17	And that's all who I remember with respect to
18	the outside counsel or outside people.
19	Q Do you recall whether Miss Polivy's
2 0	client's name was Joseph Rey?
21	A It could have been. I just have no

specific recollection.

1.	Q Now, at any time during the July 1,
2	1993, meeting, did Miss Polivy or Mr. Rey say
3	anything about the Commission's ex parte rules?
4	A No.
5	Q At any time during the July 1
6	meeting, did Miss Polivy or Mr. Rey say
7	anything about the applicability of the ex
8	parte rules to the meeting that was taking
9	place?
10	A No.
11	Q At any time during the July 1
12	meeting, did Mr. Rey or Miss Polivy say
13	anything which you understood to relate in any
14	way to the Commission's ex parte rules or to
15	the applicability of those rules to the
16	meeting?
17	A No.
18	Q At any time during the July 1
19	meeting, did you say anything to Miss Polivy or
20	Mr. Rey about the Commission's ex parte rules?
21	A No.
22	Q At any time during the July 1

At any time during the July 1

Q

meeting, did you say anything to Miss Polivy or 1 Mr. Rey about the applicability of the ex parte 2 rules to the meeting that was taking place? 3 No. Α 4 Let's go back to the telephone calls, 5 the conversations you had with Miss Polivy. 6 7 Did you place those calls, or were they incoming to you? 8 My recollection is that they were all 9 10 incoming to me. If I had placed any, it would have been in response -- a return call. 11 12 don't have a recollection whether I was -- I 13 returned any calls or not. And the only other person involved in 14 0 15 those conversations was Miss Polivy? 16 That's correct. Α 17 And do you recall approximately how 18 many phone calls there were? 19 I remember a couple. I don't 20 remember -- I would say less than four. 21 0 Were these before or after the July 1 22 meeting? If you recall?

A I don't really remember for sure when they were -- sometime while the matter was pending. They could have very well been after the meeting. They could have just as well been before.

Q At any time during any of those telephone conversations with Miss Polivy, did she say anything about the Commission's exparte rules to you?

A No.

Q At any time during any of those conversations with Miss Polivy, did she say anything about the applicability of the exparte rules to the conversation?

A No.

Q At any time during the conversations, any of the conversations with Miss Polivy, did she say anything which you understood to relate in any way to the Commission's ex parte rules or their applicability to the conversation you were having?

A No.

Q At any time during any of the conversations with Miss Polivy, did you say anything to her about the Commission's ex parte rules?

A No.

Q At any time during any of the conversations with Miss Polivy, did you say anything to her about the applicability of the ex parte rules to the conversation you were having?

A No.

Q Miss Kreisman, would it be accurate to state that at no time, in any oral communication between you and anyone acting on behalf of RBC, did either you or the person or persons with whom you were communicating say anything about the ex parte rules?

A That is a correct statement.

Q Would it also be accurate to state that, at no time in any oral communication between you and anyone acting on behalf of RBC, did either you or that person or persons say

1	anything about the applicability of the ex
2	parte rules to the communication which was
3	taking place?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And is it also accurate to state that
6	at no time, in any communication between you
7	and anyone acting on behalf of RBC, did the
8	person or persons with whom you were
9	communicating say anything which you understood
10	to relate in any way to the ex parte rules or
11	the applicability of the ex parte rules to the
12	communication that was taking place?
13	A That's correct.
14	MR. COLE: I have no further
15	questions.
16	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR RAINBOW
17	BROADCASTING, LIMITED
18	BY MS. POLIVY:
19	Q I guess I'm next. My name is Margot
20	Polivy, and I won't keep you very long.
21	I would like to ask you if you would
22	take a look at the deposition exhibit that you

have been given and tell me if you see any 1. contacts with you prior to the July 1 meeting. 2 Prior to the July 1 meeting? 3 Yes. No, I see no contacts with me prior 5 to the July 1 meeting. 6 And do you recall any of your own 7 8 recollection? No, I don't. I have no reason to 9 dispute the accuracy of your records. 10 11 My next question is that, with 12 respect to the contacts that are shown 13 subsequent to the July 1 meeting, would you 14 characterize those as status calls or something 15 other than status calls? 16 I would characterize them as status 17 calls. I don't know what the one quarter means 18 here on the records, but I -- I don't remember 19 them as being more than a few minutes or a 20 minute call. Okay. At any time -- I know Mr. Cole 21

has asked you, perhaps, partially this, but at

1	any time do you recall ever having a
2	conversation with Rainbow or its counsel on the
3	subject of ex parte?
4	A No, I remember no such conversation.
5	MS. POLIVY: I have no further
6	questions.
7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR RAINBOW
8	BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC.
9	BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
10	Q Good morning, Miss Kreisman.
11	A Hi.
12	Q Hi. I'm Allan Moskowitz. I'd like
13	to ask you about the July 1 meeting that you
14	attended in Mr. Stewart's office, I believe
15	with Miss Polivy and her client.
16	At any time during that meeting was
17	the fact that Rainbow's applications were
18	contested did that fact arise? Was that
19	discussed?
20	A I don't have any specific
21	recollection that that was discussed. My

inclination is to say no.

1	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you very much.
2	I have no further questions.
3	MR. BLOCK: Good morning. My name is
4	Stuart Block from the separate trial staff, and
5	with me is David Silverman. I think all the
6	questions that are appropriate to this
7	deposition have been asked, so I'm not going to
8	ask you any more.
9	MR. DZIEDZIC: Thank you very much,
L 0	Mr. Block.
11	May the witness be excused?
12	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
13	PRESS BROADCASTING COMPANY
14	BY MR. COLE:
15	Q I have one follow-up question on Miss
16	Polivy.
17	Miss Kreisman, you stated, I recall,
L 8	in response to Miss Polivy's question that the
19	communications reflected in this document.
20	And, by the way, we should have this document
21	marked as an exhibit, since we've
2 2	MS. POLIVY: Oh. I'm sorry. You

1 didn't?

MR. COLE: No, I didn't have it marked because I wasn't sure we were going to use it, but I will have that done in a moment.

BY MR. COLE:

Q But you stated that the conversations were status calls, to the best of your recollection?

A Right.

Q Was that testimony intended to include the July 1 meeting as well as the status call?

A You were talking about telephone calls, I thought. She wasn't talk talking the about July meeting.

Q Okay. That's fine. That's all I need.

MR. COLE: And I have no further questions. But while you're still here, Mr. Reporter, I would like to have marked for identification, as Kreisman Deposition Exhibit No. 1, a document which is seven pages in

1	length and appears to be a series of
2	handwritten ledger sheet entries with dates
3	running down the left-hand side in a column.
4	And then there are entries put in next to the
5	dates toward the center of the page.
6	Could you mark that as Deposition
7	Exhibit No. 1. And I have no further
8	questions.
9	MR. DZIEDZIC: We'll read.
LO	(Kreisman Deposition Exhibit No.
ll :	1 was marked for
12	identification.)
13	(Whereupon, at 9:21 a.m., the
14	deposition of BARBARA KREISMAN
L 5	was adjourned.)
16	* * * *
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	

## CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

I, Thomas R. Brezina, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing pages was duly sworn; that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that I am not related to the witness or counsel; that I have no interest in the outcome of this case.

Given under my hand this 23 day of May

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: October 14, 1998

Paul Gordon 12, Pendancis 12

EXHIBIT

Kharsman 8/21/au

F1.1

20 21 22 23 24 25 26	15 16 17 18	10	. 8	س ج س	2 1	ROOVER & PEGIF 18.4 WHITE 015.4 CHREN NIS-4 CHREN
	July 1-1-	0 -91X	253	<u> </u>	1 2000	[क्टीउ
20   Rieuxinian 1/4 +1/4   Briverginian 1/4   Kieuxinian	, theat see (shownt 6).	Pendavine /4+/4 Steuxint /4	Paul Gardon 1/2	" '/4, Porkerins 1/2	Powl Gordon 14	
	49					
			٠.			

30/ Bob Andani (16)/4+/4), 31/ 1527 W/ Bob Andani (16'5) 1/2/	1 242/2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2

25	. 24	2.3	22	21	07. 61	18	 	15	 : 13	. 12	11	10	9	<u>.</u>	7	G	5		2			e de la companya de l
					KE	(Cc+112)													•	Sept		

Machinstrouply, Surthallz,

: 3

\_\_\_

\_\_\_

٠,

•